

When he goes back through all of the grievances of the past in the judicial confirmation process, real or perceived, he says the system was broken back then but it is not now.

He also says that because Democrats have voted or allowed a vote—they haven't necessarily voted for them, but they have allowed a vote—on 123 of the President's judicial nominees and disallowed votes on only 2, that it somehow makes it all right.

There is an important point that needs to be made. When 123 of President Bush's judicial nominees have been confirmed and 2 have been blocked by unprecedented filibusters—and please understand there has never been a filibuster before, a true filibuster of judicial nominees before in the history of the Senate before Miguel Estrada and Priscilla Owen—how can some of these same people stand on the floor of the Senate or in the Judiciary Committee or in front of TV cameras and say President Bush is nominating only ideologues. Back in my State, some of the names I have heard these nominees called would be fighting words. If somebody called you some of the names I have heard these nominees called, indeed the President for nominating some of these same people, those would be simply fighting words.

We are not fighting here today. I am simply trying to make the point that the sort of harsh, shrill, unreasonable, emotional allegations being made by some of these special interest groups that are being repeated by some Members of this body when it comes to these nominees simply don't stand up to any test of reason.

Two years for a judicial nomination is not a sign of a healthy judicial confirmation process. It is a sign that the system is broken and needs to be repaired.

I yield to the distinguished Senator from Kentucky.

Mr. MCCONNELL. I say to my friend from Texas, if he will yield the floor and let me get the floor, we will do this very quickly.

Mr. CORNYN. I am happy to do so.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT REQUEST— H.J. RES. 51

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, the assistant Democratic leader and I have been working over the last few hours to come up with a consent agreement with regard to handling the debt limit. We have now reached agreement.

Therefore, I ask unanimous consent that at a time to be determined by the majority leader, in consultation with the Democratic leader, the Senate proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 80, H.J. Res. 51, the debt limit extension; that first-degree amendments be limited to 12 per side, with relevant second-degree amendments in order; provided that no amendments with respect to gun liability or hate crimes be

in order on either side; that upon disposition of all amendments, the joint resolution as amended, if amended, be read the third time, and the Senate then vote on passage of the joint resolution without further intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator does not have the floor.

Mr. REID. Would the Senator from Kentucky withdraw his consent at this time?

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I withdraw the unanimous consent request for the time being.

I yield the floor.

OWEN NOMINATION

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I have some further remarks I want to make with regard to the Owen nomination. I know there are other Senators who will be coming to the floor. I certainly want to give them an opportunity to speak on that subject if they wish.

As I was saying, the comment of the Senator from North Dakota that 123 Bush judicial nominees have been confirmed and only 2 obstructed, as these 2 fine ones have been, and that is a sign that the system is not broken really is at odds with the caricature I have heard and the Nation has heard about the type of person President Bush has nominated for judicial office. The truth is that they are uniformly highly qualified, able, and experienced, and should be, and are the same type of people who should be confirmed; and why they have picked out these 2 nominees against whom to engage in an unprecedented filibuster is, frankly, beyond me.

I see the Senator from Kentucky and the Senator from Nevada here. I yield the floor to them.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Kentucky is recognized.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—H.J. RES. 51

Mr. MCCONNELL. With apologies to the Senator from Texas for the interruption, we would like to try one more time to reach an agreement on something Senator REID and I have been working on for the last few hours.

I ask unanimous consent that at a time to be determined by the majority leader, in consultation with the Democratic leader, the Senate proceed to the consideration of Calendar No. 80, H.J. Res. 51, the debt limit extension; that first-degree amendments be limited to 12 per side, with relevant second-degree amendments in order; provided that no amendments with respect to gun liability or hate crimes be in order on either side; that upon disposition of all amendments, the joint resolution, as amended, if amended, be read the third time, and the Senate then vote on pas-

sage of the joint resolution, without further intervening action or debate.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Without objection, it is so ordered.

UNANIMOUS CONSENT AGREEMENT—S. 113

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that at a time to be determined by the majority leader, in consultation with the Democratic leader, Calendar No. 32, S. 113, the Foreign Surveillance Act, be referred to the Senate Intelligence Committee and that the committee be automatically discharged from further consideration of the measure and the Senate then proceed to its immediate consideration under the following limitation: That there be 2 hours of general debate equally divided between Senator KYL and Senator SCHUMER, or their designees; that the only amendments in order, other than the committee-reported substitute, be the following: Feingold amendment regarding reporting be considered and agreed to; Feinstein amendment regarding permissive presumption, with 4 hours of debate equally divided.

I further ask unanimous consent that following the disposition of the above-listed amendments and the use or yielding back of the debate time, the committee amendment be agreed to, the bill, as amended, be read the third time, and the Senate proceed to vote on passage, with no further intervening action or debate.

Further, I ask unanimous consent that following passage of the bill, the title amendment be agreed to.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection?

Mr. REID. Mr. President, on the paragraph indicating the Feingold amendment regarding the report being considered and agreed to, is there any time on that?

Mr. MCCONNELL. No.

Mr. REID. No time. Just reported and agreed to. No objection.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. MCCONNELL. Mr. President, I apologize again to the Senator from Texas for the continued interruptions. I have no anticipation that I will be doing that again.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Texas is recognized.

OWEN NOMINATION

Mr. CORNYN. Mr. President, I notice the Senator from Alabama is here, and I believe he wants to speak on the Owen nomination. I will turn the floor over to him in a few minutes.

There are a couple of things I want to finish responding to regarding what the Senator from North Dakota and the Senator from Nevada have said, and the way they characterize Justice Owen—as an activist, as somebody who is out of the mainstream, and in terms of judicial qualifications.